Variability in stable isotopes of snowy owl feathers and contribution of marine resources to their winter diet
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The snowy owl is an elusive arctic predator known for its nomadic behaviour. Satellite tracking has revealed that some adult snowy owls could make an extensive use of the marine environment during the non-breeding season. However, the relative contribution of marine resources to their diet is unknown. Stable isotope analyses can be useful to document the diet of mobile animals during periods of the year when individuals are less accessible. This study aimed to assess variation in isotopic values ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) of various feather types, and the usefulness of feathers to determine the contribution of the marine environment to the winter diet of snowy owls captured in summer. We sampled feathers coming from 6 body regions of 18 breeding females at two sites in the eastern Canadian Arctic in 2013 and 2014. Prior to analyses, diet-tissue discrimination factors of snowy owl feathers were established in captivity. Variability in isotopic values among feather types was relatively low and pairwise correlations in isotopic values between feathers on the same individual were variable and often low, which suggests differences in the diet at the time when various feathers were synthesized. Diet reconstruction models detected a contribution of marine sources to snowy owl feathers ranging from 4 to 19% among feather types. However, the marine contribution was highly variable when single feathers were examined within individuals, ranging from 3 to 71%. This indicated that no single feather type could be used alone to reliably infer the contribution of marine resources to the winter diet of owls, possibly due to a high variability in the timing and sequence of molt. For asynchronous molters like snowy owls, we recommend sampling multiple feathers from various body regions, excluding wing feathers, to investigate winter diet or habitat use.

Top predators are often highly mobile and can move over large distances during their life cycle. Examples include seabirds (Akesson and Weimerskirch 2005, Phillips et al. 2007, Egevang et al. 2010) and arctic predators (Tarroux et al. 2010, Therrien et al. 2014), which can roam over large areas in search of food. To document the diet of highly mobile animals, stable isotope analyses can be useful (Hobson and Wassenaar 2008, Inger and Bearhop 2008, Hobson 2011), especially during periods of the year when individuals are less accessible such as when foraging at sea (Cherel et al. 2000, 2006). Arctic foxes *Vulpes lagopus* (Roth 2002, Tarroux et al. 2012) and long-tailed jaegers *Stercorarius longicaudus* (Julien et al. 2014) are two arctic-breeding species that use the marine environment during the non-breeding season and for which stable isotopes have been used successfully to analyse season-specific diet.

The snowy owl is a tundra-nesting top predator well known for its nomadic and irruptive migratory behaviour (Fuller et al. 2003, Therrien et al. 2014), which complicates the study of this species during the non-breeding season. Recent evidence based on satellite tracking suggests that in eastern North America, most adults remain in the Arctic in winter and may use the marine environment for several weeks feeding on seabirds (Therrien et al. 2011b). However, the relative contribution of marine resources to the diet of snowy owls is unknown. Stable isotope analyses of animal tissues can be useful to address this question because the isotopic values of potential prey are highly contrasted between the terrestrial and marine environments (Deniro and Epstein 1978, 1981, Hobson and Clark 1992a). However, capturing snowy owls during the non-breeding season in the Arctic to obtain samples for isotopic analyses is extremely difficult, especially in the marine environment. In contrast, capturing owls at nests during the summer is relatively easy (Therrien et al. 2012), and thus offers an opportunity to obtain samples for isotopic analyses provided that some tissues can retain isotopic information on prey ingested during the winter period when birds are at sea.

Feathers collected during the breeding season represent a potential tissue to investigate winter diet because isotopic
ratios of keratin, the structural component of feathers, are determined when the tissue is synthesized (i.e., during the period of feather growth) and remain fixed thereafter (Hobson and Clark 1992a, Hobson 1999, Bearhop et al. 2002). In most bird species, feathers are synthesized during the non-breeding season to limit overlap between moult and reproduction (Payne 1972, Howell 2010), two energetically-costly activities, and hence could provide information on the diet during this part of the annual cycle. Unfortunately, moult patterns and timing of feather growth are poorly known in most species. Moult of flight feathers in snowy owls probably starts on the breeding grounds and may extend into the fall or winter period but body feathers can potentially moult year-round (Pyle 1997b). Moult patterns may also vary between sexes and with prey abundance on the breeding grounds (Solheim 2012). Variable moult patterns of body and flight feathers has the potential to create within-individual heterogeneity in isotopic ratios of feathers (Carravieri et al. 2014), but few studies have looked at those variations within individuals and most of them were carried out on seabirds (Bond and Diamond 2008, Jaeger et al. 2009, Brasso et al. 2013, Carravieri et al. 2014).

Our aims were therefore to 1) assess the intra-individual variation in feather and blood isotopic values (δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N) of snowy owls captured during the summer, 2) assess if feather δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N could be useful tools to assess the contribution of the marine resources to the winter diet of owls, and 3) assess the average contribution of the marine ecosystem to the diet of snowy owls. We expected variations in δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values of feathers from different body regions of the same individual because snowy owls are considered asynchronous moulters (i.e., feathers should be synthesized at different places or times, when diet is potentially different; Pyle1997b). In contrast, blood δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values should show less variability because of the terrestrial-dominated diet of owls during breeding. Using isotopic mixing models, we then investigated the intra- and inter-individual variability in the contribution of marine resources to the diet of owls. For these calculations, we experimentally determined the discrimination factors of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N owl feathers (Hobson and Clark 1992b), and obtained isotopic values of potential prey items of non-breeding owls. We expected marked inter-individual variations in the marine contribution to the diet of owls because the use of marine environments during the winter is highly variable among individuals (Therrien et al. 2011b).

Methods

Study system

Tissue sampling of wild snowy owls was carried out at two different sites in the eastern Canadian Arctic: Deception Bay, QC (62°02’N, 74°49’W; Low Arctic) in 2013 and Bylot Island, NU (73°08’N 80°00’W; High Arctic), in 2014 (Fig. 1). Deception Bay is located at the northern tip of the Nunavik region of the province of Quebec, and characterised by a rugged and largely rocky terrain with lush tundra vegetation in river valleys. Ungava lemmings Dicrostonyx...
hudsonius and meadow voles Microtus pennsylvanicus were
the main small mammal found at this site, and lemmings in
particular were abundant in the region in 2013 (Robillard
unpubl. data). In Nunavik, nest searches for owls were car-
ried out along the 97 km-long road from the Raglan mine
settlement (Katinik) to the coastal port of Deception Bay.
Bylot Island is a coastal study site dominated by herba-
ceous tundra and composed of a mosaic of mesic (85%) and
wet (15%) environments where two species of small mam-
mals are found: brown lemmings Lemmus trimucronatus, the
most abundant species, and collared lemmings Dicrostonyx
groenlandicus (see Gauthier et al. 2011 for a description
of the study area). Nest searches for owls took place on
the south plain of the island over an area of ~450 km². We
aimed to capture birds in the late incubation period or soon
after hatching to limit nest abandonment by the parents.
All captures of snowy owls were performed with bow-nets
set at the nest (but one was performed using a bal-chatri,
ias, i.e. a noose-covered cage with a live bait), from 8 to 18 July
2013 at Deception Bay, and 29 June to 5 July 2014 on Bylot
Island. The later capture dates on Deception Bay was due to
our delayed arrival to the site because of logistic constrains,
and not due to differences in breeding phenology, which
was similar at both sites (laying date expressed as Julian
day: Bylot Island: mean = 152, range = 144–164, n = 6;
Deception Bay: mean = 146, range = 137–153, n = 10;
p = 0.08 [t-test]).

Tissue sampling in the field and preparation
Snowy owl feathers and blood were collected on 10 nesting
females in 2013 and 8 females in 2014. We plucked or cut
feathers from 6 different body regions: top of head, rear of
neck, breast, flank, rump and wing (secondaries) and stored
them in individual envelopes at room temperature. For
wing feathers, 1 new feather (dark brown) and 1 old feather
(worn, pale brown) were collected from either the right
or left wing. In the laboratory, feathers were washed with
a 2:1 chloroform: methanol solution, oven dried at 50°C
for 24 h, and homogenized by cutting them with scissors
into approximately 1–3 mm fragments. Feather shafts were
excluded from homogenization (except for very small ones
like head feathers) to limit within-feather variability (Grec-
cian et al. 2015).

We took a 1-ml blood sample from the brachial vein of
each individual with a syringe and immediately transferred
it to a 70% ethanol-filled Eppendorf tube kept frozen at
−20°C. Ethanol does not significantly alter carbon and
nitrogen isotopic composition of tissues (Hobson et al. 1997,
Therrien et al. 2011a). In the laboratory, blood samples were
freeze-dried at −50°C for 72 h and ground to a fine powder
with mortar and pestle. For stable isotope analyses, ~0.7 mg
of feathers (n = 146) and ~1.0 mg of blood (n = 16) were
subsampled, weighed to the nearest 0.001 mg and packed
into tin capsules.

To evaluate the proportion of marine sources in the diet
of snowy owls, we obtained isotopic values via tissues of
potential prey items from different locations and envi-
ronments within their known winter range (Fig. 1). In
eastern North America, adult snowy owls winter mainly
in south Baffin and Nunavik and in the surrounding marine
evironment in Davis Strait/Labrador Sea, Hudson strait
and eastern Hudson Bay (Therrien et al. 2011b). Thus,
we included the following prey groups in our analyses: 1)
breast muscles from seabirds, i.e., common eider Somateria
mollissima, thick-billed murre Uria lomvia, common murre
Uria aalge, razorbill Alca torda and long-tailed duck Clangula
hyemalis (liver in this case) collected in winter; 2) breast
muscles from terrestrial birds, i.e., rock ptarmigan Lagopus
mynet; 3) muscles from terrestrial small mammals, i.e.,
brown, collared and Ungava lemmings and meadow vole.
We collected Ungava lemmings and meadow voles in the
field near Deception Bay, samples of seabirds were accessed
via the Seabird Research Team at Environment and Climate
Change Canada (Supplementary material Appendix 2) and
rock ptarmigans were given to us by Inuit hunters (see Fig. 1
and Supplementary material Appendix 2 for exact sampling
locations). Sources were pooled in 2 groups: marine (n = 5
species) and terrestrial sources (n = 5 species) for stable
isotope mixing models, giving equal weight to each species
within group.

Tissue samples collected in the field were stored frozen
at −20°C. In the laboratory, samples were freeze-dried at
−50°C, ground to a fine powder with mortar and pestle and
lipid were extracted with chloroform using a Soxtec appa-
ratus (Tecator system 1043) before isotopic analyses. Lipid
extraction reduces the risk of introducing significant biases in
δ¹³C values, which often differ between lipid and non-lipid
fractions (Tieszen et al. 1983), and is especially important
when comparing sources with variable lipid content (Post
et al. 2007). For stable isotope analyses, ~1 mg of sources
tissue were subsampled, weighed to the nearest 0.001 mg
and packed into tin capsules. All values reported are lipid
extracted values. Because of the difficulty of obtaining prey
tissues from all the different sources, prey tissues came from
different years depending of the species and often from a
single year for a given species. Therefore, we assumed that
prey isotopic ratios did not vary significantly from year to
year. However, even if this assumption was not entirely met,
annual variation in isotopic values within prey species (e.g.
collared lemmings, Supplementary material Appendix 2) is
likely to be small compared to the large differences between
the terrestrial and marine prey (Fig. 2) and thus should have
little impact on our results.

Diet-tissue discrimination
We assessed the diet-tissue discrimination factors of feathers
using 3 captive snowy owls (2 females and 1 male) from a
rehabilitation center affiliated with the Faculté de médecine
vétérinaire of the Univ. de Montréal in Saint-Hyacinthe,
Québec, Canada. The birds were found injured in the wild
and taken to the centre for treatment where they had been
in captivity for at least one year prior to the start of our
experiment. At the start of the experiment, a tail feather was
removed from each bird (R5 or R6 on the right side) under
general anaesthesia to allow for a regrowth of the feather
under a known diet. Birds were put on a pure diet of mice sev-
eral weeks before the start of the experiment and maintained
on it thereafter. Mice came from a single source (Charles
River Canada, Saint-Constant, Quebec, Canada) and were
reared on standard commercial feed (Purina 50–75). All the
were subtracted from the $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N values of each individual's feather ($\Delta X = \Delta_{\text{tissue}} - \Delta_{\text{diet}}$) to obtain the resulting discrimination factor of feathers ($\Delta X$). For blood, discrimination factors from Therrien et al. (2011a) were used.

All animal manipulations were approved by the Animal Care Committees of Univ. Laval and the Faculté de médecine vétérinaire of Univ. de Montréal.

**Isotopic analyses**

Isotopic analyses were performed at the Laboratoire d’Océanographie of Univ. Laval, Québec, Canada. Stable
carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios were measured by continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron Delta Advantage) in the continuous-flow mode (Thermo Electron ConFlo III) using an ECS 4010 Elemental Analyzer/ZeroBlank Autosampler (Costech Analytical Technologies). Samples were loaded into tin capsules and combusted (localized temperature up to 1800°C) for the simultaneous determination of carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios. Two laboratory standards, USGS40 and USGS41 (Qi et al. 2003), were analysed for every 12 unknown samples in each analytical sequence, allowing instrument drift to be corrected if required. Stable isotope ratios were expressed in δ notation as parts per thousand (‰) deviation from the international standards V-Pee dee belemnite (carbon) and AIR (nitrogen). Measurement precision of both δ13C and δ15N was estimated to be ± 0.2‰.

To validate the consistency in isotopic analyses among laboratories, 15 of our samples were duplicated and ran at another facility (SINLAB, Univ. of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada), where the samples of Tarroux et al. (2012) and Gauthier et al. (2015) had been previously analysed. Mean differences of isotopic ratios between the two labs were small (mean ± SD: δ13C = 0.25‰ ± 0.14‰; δ15N = 0.14‰ ± 0.53‰) and within (for δ13C) or very close (for δ15N) to the experimental uncertainty estimated for the standards and spectrometer (see above). Values from corresponding samples were also highly correlated between each laboratory (Pearson correlation, r = 0.96, p < 0.001, df = 13, for both isotopes).

**Statistical analyses**

Intra-individual variability of δ13C and δ15N values in snowy owl tissues was analysed using linear mixed models (LMM, R package lme4, Bates et al. 2015). The effects of year, type of tissue (i.e., feathers vs blood) and their interaction on δ13C and δ15N values were tested using Anovas (R package car, Fox and Weisberg 2011). Bird identity was included in the model as random effect to account for non-independence of data (i.e. repeated measures) within a given bird. We analysed δ13C and δ15N values separately because Manova does not allow for inclusion of random effects. Where relevant, post hoc tests using differences in least square means (R package lmerTest, Kuznetsova et al. 2016) were performed to discern more precisely the differences among various tissues.

To determine the contribution of marine prey to the isotopic ratios of snowy owl tissues, we used stable isotope mixing models (R package SIAR, Parnell and Jackson 2013). Models used to infer the intra-individual contribution were run using the following parameters: iterations = 500 000, burnin = 50 000 and flat priors with the function siarmcdirichlev4 (for inter-tissue analyses; n = 17 or 18 values for each of the 8 tissues). This function runs Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) on stable isotope ratios of individual tissues from each bird to determine its dietary habits (Parnell et al. 2010, Parnell and Jackson 2013). We also determined the contribution of each feather type for each individual using the function siarsolomcmc4v4, as only one feather type was available for each individual, with the same parameters as above.

Discrimination factors for feathers (this study) and blood (Therrien et al. 2011a) were accounted for in all models and statistical analyses were performed using R 3.2.2 software (R Core Team). Results are presented as means ± SD, unless otherwise stated.

**Results**

**Isotopic values of owls’ tissues**

Overall mean δ13C and δ15N values for wild snowy owl tissues collected during the summer were respectively –23.1 ± 0.6‰ and +7.5 ± 1.9‰ for feathers and –24.8 ± 0.3‰ and +4.9 ± 0.8‰ for blood (Supplementary material Appendix 2). Generally, we observed a greater variability in isotopic values among individuals than among tissues within individuals (Inter-tissues = δ13C: 3.3 ± 0.3‰, δ15N: –25.0 ± 0.2‰; Fig. 2a; Inter-individuals = δ13C: 3.3 ± 1.2‰, δ15N: –25.0 ± 0.4‰; Fig. 2b).

Analyses among feather types showed that pattern of variation in δ13C values differed between the two years of the study (interaction year × tissue, Table 1). Post-hoc comparisons indicated little variation among feather types except for flank and head feathers in 2013, which had higher δ13C values than new wing, and tended to have higher values than neck feathers (Supplementary material Appendix 3). In 2014, δ13C values were generally lower than in 2013 except for new wing feathers (Fig. 3, Supplementary material Appendix 3). Values of δ15N showed little variations among feather types (Fig. 3) and were slightly but significantly higher in 2013 than in 2014 (mean ± SE: –24.8 ± 0.2‰ vs 25.2 ± 0.2‰, respectively; Table 1, Fig. 3).

Pairwise correlations in δ13C and δ13C values between body regions on the same individual were quite variable but
Values did not differ among tissues when blood was included in the comparisons but differed between years (Table 1). Coefficients of variations of isotopic values were much lower for blood than for feathers for both $\delta^{15}N$ (blood: 15.3%, feathers: 25.3%, range: 20.6 to 32.9%) and $\delta^{13}C$ (blood: 1.1%, feathers: 2.6%, range: 1.8 to 4.7%) values.

Discrimination factor and marine contribution to owl diet

The mean $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values of captive owl feathers were respectively $-18.5\pm0.2\%$ and $+12.1\pm0.2\%$ and mean values for white mouse muscles were $-20.4\pm0.04\%$. The mean $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values of captive owl feathers were respectively $-18.5\pm0.2\%$ and $-20.4\pm0.04\%$.
and + 8.0 ± 0.3‰. Diet-tissue discrimination factors (Δ13C and Δ15N) for snowy owl feathers were therefore respectively + 1.9 ± 0.04‰ and + 4.1 ± 0.3‰ (see Supplementary material Appendix 1 for details).

Isotopic values of marine and terrestrial sources differed considerably: marine sources were markedly enriched in 13C (marine: −19.5 ± 0.7‰, terrestrial: −26.2 ± 1.2‰) and in 15N (marine: + 13.7 ± 1.2‰, terrestrial: + 3.3 ± 1.8‰; Fig. 2, Supplementary material Appendix 2).

The mean proportions of marine sources in feathers from the 6 body regions of snowy owls varied from 0.037 to 0.62 among feathers coming from various body regions of snowy owls. Nonetheless, diet reconstruction using isotopic mixing models detected a significant contribution of marine sources to snowy owl feathers, which supports the hypothesis that these predators feed in this environment at some point during their annual cycle. Moreover, examining these patterns at the individual level also revealed a large variability, with some individuals showing little contribution of the marine resources to their diet during feather growth, whereas others showing large isotopic differences among feathers. To our knowledge, this is the first study documenting intra- and inter-individual heterogeneity in isotopic ratios and diet of an arctic predator using multiple tissues.

**Variation among feather type and individuals**

In general, whole blood reflects the diet of animals during the previous few weeks (Hobson and Clark 1992a). Because snowy owls appear strictly terrestrial during the breeding season (Holt et al. 2015), we expected isotopic values of blood samples collected in summer to mainly reflect a terrestrial diet. Diet reconstruction models generally confirmed this result but nonetheless indicated a modest contribution of the marine environment to the blood (8% on average). The marine contribution detected in blood samples could be due to the use of endogenous body reserves acquired at other time of the year by breeding females (Cherel et al. 2005, Fox et al. 2009) to meet some energetic shortfalls (Brodin and Jonsson 2003). It could also originate from marine or coastal prey consumed shortly before breeding. However, considering that blood isotopic values did not totally overlap those of terrestrial prey, we preferred to retain all sources in our analyses to avoid exclusions that may have led to dietary proportion biases (Phillips et al. 2014).

Because snowy owls are considered asynchronous moulters (Pyle 1997b), we expected large and possibly consistent variability in isotopic ratios among feathers coming from various body regions as previously shown in other asynchronous moulters such as the Arctic tern Sterna paradisaea, common tern Sterna hirundo, Leach’s storm petrel Oceanodroma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Δ15N</th>
<th>Wu</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Fl</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Bl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wn</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td><strong>0.59</strong></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td><strong>0.54</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.48</strong></td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td><strong>0.81</strong></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fl</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td><strong>0.79</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.87</strong></td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Contrary to our expectations, we did not find large and consistent differences in the nitrogen and carbon isotopic values among feathers coming from various body regions of snowy owls. Nonetheless, diet reconstruction using isotopic mixing
leucorhoa and Antarctic prions Pachyptila desolata (Bond and Diamond 2008, Carravieri et al. 2014). However, the pattern of relatively low variability among feather types that we observed across individuals was shown in synchronous moulters like Sphenisciformes and Procellariiformes (Jaeger et al. 2009, Brasso et al. 2013) in which all feathers moult over a short time period. Pairwise correlations in isotopic values between feathers on the same individual were low for some body parts, which suggest differences in the diet at the time when various feathers were synthesized. As a consequence, marine proportions in the diet of snowy owls inferred from isotopic analyses showed large variations among different feathers within the same individual. At least two hypotheses can explain these patterns.

A first hypothesis may be that timing or sequence of moult among various body regions vary considerably among individuals. Flight feathers in snowy owls are only partially replaced every year with a complete moult spanning a period of 3 to 5 years in a relatively predictable replacement sequence (Pyle 1997b, Solheim 2012). On the other hand, body feathers of all owls undergo a complete annual moult (Forsman 1981), but the sequences and timing of body feather moult is poorly known. While diet can be studied in captivity, molt cannot due to different stress and environmental conditions,
therefore very little is known for this species, even if captive birds are available. Body feathers are most often replaced during the pre-basic and/or pre-alternate moult depending on the species but year-round moult of some body feathers has been documented in a few species of birds of prey, including the snowy owl, Swainson’s hawk Buteo swainsoni and great horned owl Bubo virginianus (Pyle 1997b, Pyle 2008). In seabirds, random sequences and extent of body feather moult have been reported to cause large within-individual variability in the concentration of contaminants deposited in body feathers during their growth (Bond and Diamond 2008, Carravieri et al. 2014). Therefore, the protracted moult of flight feathers and a highly variable moult of body feathers in snowy owls could explain why some feathers in the same individual showed a very large contribution from the marine environment and others very little, and why feathers with a high marine contribution were not found in the same body regions of all individuals.

A second hypothesis may be that the type of environments used (i.e., marine vs terrestrial) may not only vary among individuals (Therrien et al. 2011b) but also that the period of use of the marine environment (i.e., fall, winter or spring) varies among individuals. A seasonal variability like this would explain why some feathers, which could have grown at different times of the year but in a regular or specific sequence in all individuals, exhibit a variable contribution of marine environment among individuals. However, results from radio-tracking studies do not support this hypothesis because owls that use the marine environment tend to do so at about the same time of the year (i.e., from late December to late March; Therrien et al. 2011b).

Inter-annual differences

The marine contribution to the diet of owls inferred from feathers was twice as high in 2013 than 2014. This inter-annual variability may reflect geographic variation as owls sampled in 2013 were captured in the Low Arctic whereas owls from 2014 were captured in the High Arctic. Therefore, individuals from each sampling site may have had different diets driven by differences in migration or wintering strategies. For instance, birds collected in 2013 were closer to the marine areas used by wintering owls in the eastern Canadian Arctic (Hudson Bay and Hudson and Davis Straits, Therrien et al. 2011b), which could partly explain these inter-annual differences. However, because each site was sampled in a different year, we cannot identify with certainty the source of variation.

Discrimination factor

Discrimination factors established in this study for feathers are similar to Great skua (lipid-extracted samples) values ($\Delta^{13}C$: 2.21 $\pm$ 0.07‰; $\Delta^{15}N$: 4.8 $\pm$ 0.28‰; Bearhop et al. 2002), and are in the higher range of values reported for feathers ($\Delta^{13}C$: 0–2‰, $\Delta^{15}N$: 2–5‰; Peterson and Fry 1987, Kelly 2000; Supplementary material Appendix 1). Discrimination factors are known to vary among species and body tissues for the same species (Deniro and Epstein 1981, Vanderklift and Ponsard 2003, Caut et al. 2009). Thus, establishing these factors in captive experiments is important considering that stable isotope mixing models are sensitive to these values (Bond and Diamond 2011). In this study, the $\Delta_{\text{feathers}}$ differ substantially from the $\Delta_{\text{blood}}$ determined on the same species: $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{feathers}}$ is 1.58‰ greater than $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{blood}}$ and $\Delta^{15}N_{\text{feathers}}$ is 2.22‰ greater than $\Delta^{15}N_{\text{blood}}$. Moreover, had we used discrimination factors established for another avian predator, e.g., the peregrine falcon Falco peregrinus (Hobson and Clark 1992b), as a proxy in our analyses, $\Delta^{15}N_{\text{blood}}$ would have been more enriched in blood than in feathers, the reverse of snowy owls, which would have yielded erroneous conclusions. This emphasizes the need to use tissue-specific discrimination factors and refrain from using other species as proxy. It must however be noted that discrimination factors may also vary with prey type (Caut et al. 2009), an element that could not be taken into account in this study (i.e., captive owls could not be fed with sea ducks). Although it could be a source of variability in our analysis, it probably had a negligible impact on our main conclusions because doubling the error of our discrimination factors in SIAR changed the results by $<2\%$ for feathers.

Usefulness of snowy owl feathers to infer winter diet

A limitation of this study lies in the fact that moult patterns in snowy owls, especially of body feathers, are poorly known. The approach we used (i.e., the analysis of feathers from different body regions) nonetheless allowed us to detect a significant marine signal in some feathers of several individuals, which supported our original hypothesis. The use of multiple feathers likely provided a general but conservative estimate of marine resource contribution to snowy owl winter diet, and could potentially help in assessing habitat use. On the other hand, the lack of consistency among feathers also complicates their use as a biomonitoring tool for wintering snowy owls, at least until sequence and timing of body feather moult in snowy owls are better established. Our study could not discriminate a single body region from which we sampled feathers that could be reliably used alone to infer habitat use of snowy owls in winter. Flank feathers were the body feathers that yielded isotopic values with the highest marine contribution on average, but this was not always true at the individual level. Flight feathers should be especially avoided because new wing feathers showed opposite patterns between our 2 years (i.e., their isotopic ratios yielded the lowest marine contribution in 2013 among all body regions but the highest in 2014). This may not be surprising considering the protracted moult of flight feathers in owls and that the last juvenal feathers may not be replaced until the fourth prebasic moult (i.e., fourth year) or later (Pyle 1997a, b, Solheim 2012). Temporal resolution, however, is likely to be low if moulting patterns are as variable as our data implies. For asynchronous moulters like snowy owls, we thus recommend sampling multiple feathers in different body regions to obtain some information on the animal diet at different periods of its life cycle. Future studies should also examine factors (e.g., age, sex, wintering strategies and habitat use or body condition) that could explain the large inter-individual variations in isotopic values that we found.
Conclusion

Despite some limitations, isotopic analyses of feathers collected during the summer allowed us to uncover a significant contribution of the marine environment to the diet of at least some individuals during the non-breeding season. The consumption of marine environment by snowy owls can have important consequences at the individual level, for instance on their body condition, subsequent reproductive success through carry-over effects or increased exposure contaminants present in marine prey (Harrison et al. 2011, Provencher et al. 2014). At the ecosystem level, the use of marine resources by a top predator of the Arctic tundra can also have some implications for cross-system exchanges and allochthonous subsidies (Polis and Hurd 1996), especially for the relatively unproductive terrestrial food web (Gauthier et al. 2011). Future studies should concentrate on addressing these issues.
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